## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S093526 John Minster, Appellant

1st Dist. v.

A089857 Contadina Food, Inc., Respondent Div. 3 Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Camargo v. Tjaarda Dairy*, S088632 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J.

Chin, J. Brown, J.

S093628 City of Los Angeles, Petitioner

2nd Dist. v.

B143088 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

Div. 3 Jeremy Brandon, Real Party in Interest Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J.

Chin, J. Brown, J.

S075300 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Thuan Van Nguyen et al., Defendants and Appellants.

THE COURT:

Opinion modified. This modification does not affect the judgment.

S092765 Patricia Adcock, et al., Petitioners

v.

California Public Utilities Commission, Respondent Silverwood Estates Development Limited Partnership, Real Party in Interest

Petition for review DENIED.

S093701 Juan Artez Harrison, Petitioner

v.

Third District Court of Appeal, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

Application for stay and petition for writ of mandate DENIED.

S060675 In re Robert Henry Nicolaus

on

Habeas Corpus

The application to amend the petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed August 13, 1997, is granted.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. All claims (numbered I through LXIII, and claim no. 1 in the addendum to the petition) are denied on the merits. In addition, all claims, with the exception of Claims XXXII (unconstitutionality of death penalty statute), LIX (same) and LXII (death penalty statute violates international law) are denied as untimely as they are substantially delayed without good cause as of the date of the filing of the petition. (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

The following claims are further barred to the extent they were raised and rejected on appeal (*In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225): Claims XXVIII, XLVIII, LIV and LVII.

The following claims are further barred to the extent they are based solely on the record on appeal and could have been raised on appeal (*In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759): Claims III, IV, XV through XVII, XXI, XXIII through XXVI, XXIX, XXXI, XXXVI, XXXVII, XLVII, XLIX through LIII, LV, LVI, and LVIII.

Mosk, J. and Brown, J. would deny the petition solely on the merits.

S086929 In re Richard P. Pinola

on

Habeas Corpus

Petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A086643 v.

Div. 2 David Massette, Appellant

S092998 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. In re Golden Eagle Insurance Company

A087558 in Conservation of Assets

Div. 5 ------

S093136 Harry W. Low, as Insurance Commissioner, etc., Plaintiff

v.

Golden Eagle Insurance Company Respondent

Ehsan Yaghoubi, Appellant

Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. National American Insurance Company, Appellant

A087840 v.

Div. 4 City of Santa Rosa, Respondent S093254 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A088406 v.

Div. 3 William Lockard Milano, Appellant S093595 Petition for review DENIED.

The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in

the above-entitled appeal is DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent

A088547 v.

Div. 1 Howard Zaniel Roary, Appellant S093547 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. A089069 Div. 1 S093651	Carl W. Youngert et al., Appellants v. Wells Fargo Bank et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED. The request for an order directing publication of the opinion is DENIED. Baxter, J., did not participate.
1st Dist. A089295 Div. 4 S093498	Rex Richardson, et al., Appellants v. Tutor-Saliba Corporation, et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED. Chin, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
1st Dist. A090000 Div. 2 S093147	T.T. Chiang, Appellant v. Tse-Mu Chiang, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A091209 Div. 4 S093612	People, Respondent v. Robert Lee Canulli, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A091678 Div. 2 S093678	National Health Laboratories, Inc., et al., Petitioners v. Alameda County Superior Court, Respondent Sherman Kassof, et al., Real Parties in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A092871 Div. 5 S094123	Joey Wells, et al., Petitioners v. San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent Camptonville Union Elementary School District, et al., Real Parties in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B098606 Div. 4 S093063	American Samoa Government, Respondent v. An Affiliated FM Insurance Company, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B124253 B128172 Div. 1 S093532	Terri Mitchell, Appellant v. Upjohn Company, Respondent And Companion Case Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B130491 Div. 2 S093726	People, Respondent v. Arthur Stepan Kabadaian, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B131725 Div. 1 S092772	People, Respondent v. Noel Barajas et al., Appellants Petitions for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B132659 Div. 6 S093688	In re the Marriage of Julie Ann and Brian Hassenflug  Julie Ann Hassenflug Litzsinger, Appellant  v.  Brian Hassenflug, Respondent  Mark Litzsinger, Appellant  Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B133192 Div. 1 S093591	People, Respondent v. Ismael Gutierrez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B133303 Div. 1 S093562	People, Respondent v. Samuel Rivera, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B133453 Div. 3 S093572	Dana Commercial Credit Corporation, Inc., Respondent v. Ferns & Ferns et al., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B133982 Div. 4 S093583	George Martinez, Appellant v. Valley Indoor Swap Meet, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B133998 Div. 4 S092689	In re Daniel M. Williams on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B134136 Div. 7 S093648	People, Respondent v. Valadelz, Appellant Petitions for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B134474 Div. 7 S093550	People, Respondent v.  Jerry Carter, Appellant Petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
2nd Dist. B135173 Div. 2 S093563	People, Respondent v. William Hodges, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B135459 Div. 4 S092992	Susan N. Schattl, Appellant v. Pacific Bell, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B135873 Div. 2 S092953	People, Respondent v. Joe Alberto Jaimez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B135793 Div. 7 S093451	Alan Nguyen, Appellant v. Employer's Insurance of Wausau, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B136088 Div. 6 S093285	Santa Margarita Area Residents Together et al., Appellants v.  San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors, Respondent Santa Margarita Limited, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., and Kennard, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.
2nd Dist. B136835 Div. 7 S093626	People, Respondent v. Shawn Lauritz Miller, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B137284 Div. 4 S093657	People, Respondent v.  Jose Hugo Cano, Appellant Petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
2nd Dist. B137437 Div. 7 S093681	People, Respondent v.  Juan Antonio Gomez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B138008 Div. 6 S093720	People, Respondent v. Timothy Patterson, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B138679 Div. 7 S093624	People, Respondent v. Clarence B. Greene, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B138912 Div. 4 S093573	People, Respondent v. Chea Rick Phov, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B140203 Div. 2 S093689	Barry Beitler et al., Petitioners v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Westwood Village Development Company et al., Real Parties in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B144442 Div. 5 S093475	Consolidated Freightways Corp., Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED. Baxter, J., did not participate.
2nd Dist. B144842 Div. 5 S092650	In re Christopher Torres on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B145368 Div. 2 S093189	Hilton San Francisco & Towers, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Gregory C. Don, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B145656 Div. 2 S093596	Richard L. Fine, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Paul Deflores, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B146000 Div. 3 S093577	Steven Ortega, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B146017 Div. 1 S093710	City of Los Angeles, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Marvin Scruggs, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B146535 Div. 7 S094164	In re Wendell Allan Carter on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C027881 C028559 S092801	People, Respondent V. Kevin Neal Ladner, Appellant And Companion Case Petitions for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C029708 S092687	People, Respondent v. Leon Jerome Sapp, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C031031 S092808	People, Respondent v. Charles Hoag, Appellant Petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., and Kennard, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.
3rd Dist. C031036 S092930	People, Respondent v. Bounnhong Douangpangna, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C031341 S092908	People, Respondent v. Frank Romas Sumahit, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C032366 S093617	People, Respondent v. Steven Paul Smith, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C032580 S093739	People, Respondent v. Jon Eric Holley, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

3rd Dist. C032706 S093581	People, Respondent v. Robert S. II, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C033598 S092966	People, Respondent v. Luis Alberto Martinez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C033772 S093593	People, Respondent v.  Mark Hovgaard, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C035062 S093615	People, Respondent v. Cynthia Ann Turner, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D028750 Div. 1 S093705	People, Respondent v. Helda Wilson, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D033288 D034308 Div. 1 S093708	People, Respondent v. Donald Lamont Strong, Appellant In re Donald Lamont Strong on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D033348 Div. 1 S093440	Ballard F. Smith, Appellant v. Stephen Brunk, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D033394 Div. 1 S093604	James Rattan, et al., Appellants v. United Services Automobile Association, et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. D034031 Div. 1 S093598	People, Respondent v. Richard Eugene Madsen, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D034168 Div. 1 S093619	People, Respondent v.  Karl Ignacio Naputi, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D034241 Div. 1 S093711	People, Respondent v. Ronald W., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D034827 Div. 1 S093693	Jerry Mailhot, Appellant v. City of San Diego, Respondent Padres L.P., Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D035198 Div. 1 S093568	People, Respondent v. Christopher Lee Cataldo, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D035245 Div. 1 S093527	Stony Brook Homeowners Association, et al., Petitioners  V.  San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent Robert Diehl, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.  Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted. The requests for an order directing depublication of the opinion are DENIED.
4th Dist. D036449 Div. 1 S093582	People, Respondent v. Francisco Ruelas, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. D036629 Div. 1 S092994	<ul> <li>U.S. Pipe and Foundry Inc., Petitioner</li> <li>v.</li> <li>San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent</li> <li>Vallecitos Water District, Real Party in Interest</li> <li>Petition for review DENIED.</li> </ul>
4th Dist. E024296 Div. 2 S092631	People, Respondent v. Thomas Bernidakis, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E025903 Div. 2 S093994	People, Respondent v. Roosevelt Wooten, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E026329 Div. 2 S093623	People, Respondent v. Allen Hymes, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E026336 Div. 2 S093666	People, Respondent v. Daniel Torres Baldonado, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E026851 Div. 2 S093695	People, Respondent v. Christopher Vasquez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E027962 Div. 2 S092676	In re Thomas J. Lee Burke on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED. Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
4th Dist. E028211 Div. 2 S093995	In re Roosevelt Wooten on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. E028310 Div. 2 S093354	Kenneth L. Baker, Petitioner v. Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E028419 Div. 2 S093574	Randy Roper, Petitioner v. Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G023352 G026339 Div. 3 S092790	People, Respondent v. Lynn Ann MacPherson, Appellant In re Lynn Ann MacPherson on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED. Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
4th Dist. G023876 Div. 3 S093564	People, Respondent v. Timothy Taplin, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G024007 Div. 3 S093585	People, Respondent v.  Janet Marie Carter, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G025059 Div. 3 S093020	People, Respondent v. Richard William Croft, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G025781 Div. 3 S093963	People, Respondent v. Edward E. Mayfield, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. G026175	People, Respondent v.
Div. 3	Coen Eli Antillon, Appellant
S093630	Petition for review DENIED.
5075050	reducing for review belief.
5th Dist.	People, Respondent
F026062	V.
F031286	Crystal Lee Furness, Appellant
S092845	Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist.	People, Respondent
F028332	v.
F033529	Ronald Reno, Appellant
S092555	In re Ronald Reno on Habeas Corpus
	Petition for review DENIED.
	Kennard, J., did not participate.
	Remard, 3., and not participate.
5th Dist.	People, Respondent
F030471	v.
S093734	Michael Anthony Guecho, Appellant
	Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist.	Gisela Dardon, Appellant
F030969	V.
S093450	Board of Trustees of the California State University, et al.,
	Respondents
	Robert K. Anderson, Respondent
	Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist.	People, Respondent
F031022	V.
S092554	Blong Her, et al., Appellants
	Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist.	People, Respondent
F032039	V.
S092761	Frank Henry Martin, Appellant
	Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. F037002 S093607	In re Charles C. Haluska on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F037334 S094428	Cesar Cadena, Petitioner v. Stanislaus County Superior Court, Respondent Arlene Degraaf, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H016465 S092825	People, Respondent v. Ronald Allen Riva et al., Appellants Petitions for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion review should be granted.
6th Dist. H018103 H019312 S092634	People, Respondent v. Donald Paul Glass, Appellant And Companion Case Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H019865 S093011	People, Respondent v. David Eldridge Dukes, et al., Appellants Petitions for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H019955 S093639	People, Respondent v. Gerald Oberg, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H019965 S093625	People, Respondent v. Andrew Chaparro Contreras, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H020145 S093558	People, Respondent v. Anthony Craig Moore, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

6th Dist. H021301 S093675	People, Respondent v. Kelly Kaigler, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.				
6th Dist. H021316 S093677	In re Evangelino T., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law				
6th Dist. H021476 S093684	In re Briana F., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law Santa Clara County Dept. of Family & Children Svcs., Responde v. Randall Fielding, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.				
6th Dist. H021818 S093743	Sheila S., Petitioner v. Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent Santa Clara County Dept. of Family & Children Services, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED. The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is DENIED.				
6th Dist. H022270 S093297	Luis Miguel Lopez, Petitioner v.  Monterey County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.				
1st Dist. A088571 Div. 1 S093671	Charles Broughton, Plaintiff v. Mediacopy, Appellant The Hearst Corporation, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is DENIED.				

2nd Dist. B133281 Div. 2 S093359	City of Alhambra, Appellant v. City of South Pasadena, et al., Respondents The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is DENIED.
2nd Dist. B137432 Div. 1 S092778	Waltraud Krause, Appellant v. Otto Nemenz International, Inc., et al., Respondents The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is DENIED.
6th Dist. H020573 S093676	Elie Wurtman, Respondent v. E*Trade Group, Inc. et al., Appellants The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is DENIED.

## 1st Dist. John Crane, Inc., Petitioner

A093181

V.

San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent

Wartnick, Chaber, Tigerman & Harowitz, Real Party in Interest

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including February 11, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

Due to clerical error, this order is entered nunc pro tunc effective January 12, 2001.

# 2nd Dist. People, Respondent

B125332

Earl Travis Gardner, Appellant

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including March 2, 2001. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A086128/S093238	People v. Eugene Jones	– February 23, 2001.
	1 2	<i>J</i> ,

- A083797/S093239 People v. Thomas Lester Stark February 26, 2001.
- A088761/S093207 People v. Alfred Lawrence Jackson February 26, 2001.
- B130439/S093158 People v. Byron Q. Hebert February 25, 2001.
- B131499/S093172 People v. Tony C. Morataya February 25, 2001/
- B131883/S093195 People v. Patrick Anthony Mannery February 26, 2001
- B133352/S093265 People v. Marc Alexander Graham February 28, 2001.
- B140273/S093132 Nelson Medina v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. February 20, 2001.
- C033883/S093117 People v. Donald Earl Box February 25, 2001.
- D026830/S093287 People v. Ronald G. Self February 28, 2001.
- D033287/S093491 People v. Milton Larue Fields February 23, 2001.
- D033290/S093206 People v. Richard Vincent Mayes February 23, 2001.
- D033612/S093266 People v. Enrique Rodriguez February 28, 2001.
- E024841/S093464 People v. Phillip Hooker; In re Phillip Hooker on Habeas Corpus February 23, 2001.
- E024920/S093327 People v. Manuel Guerrero, Jr.; and Consolidated Cases February 26, 2001.
- G025741/S093352 People v. Joseph Valenzuela February 27, 2001.
- G026107/S093080 People v. Danny John Swick February 19, 2001.

H019350/S093463 People v. Timothy Paul Squires – February 28, 2001.

H019837/S093499 People v. Gene Costa – February 27, 2001.

#### S016730 People, Respondent

V.

Raymond Edward Steele, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including March 19, 2001.

#### S024599 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Lamont Jones, Appellant

Appellant's reply brief shall be filed on or before February 23, 2001. If the brief is not filed by that date, the court will consider the issuance of an order directing counsel to show cause before this court, when the matter is ordered on calendar, why counsel for appellant should not be held in contempt of court for the willful neglect of his duty to file the appellant's reply brief.

#### S025519 People, Respondent

v.

Colin Raker Dickey, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including February 13, 2001.

#### S040471 People, Respondent

V.

Milton Ray Pollock, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 20, 2001.

#### S081791 Dana E. Zelig et al., Appellants

v.

County of Los Angeles et al., Respondents

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to briefs of amici curiae is extended to and including March 5, 2001.

#### S088257 Grossmont Healthcare District, Appellant

v.

San Diego Hospital Association et al., Respondents

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to amicus curiae briefs filed on behalf of Marin General Hospital and Sutter Health is extended to and including January 31, 2001.

#### S089115 Elgin Haynie, Petitioner

V.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent County of Los Angeles, Real Party in Interest

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including February 20, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

#### S089120 People, Respondent

v.

Greg Acosta, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's brief on the merits is extended to and including February 13, 2001.

#### S091453 Filipina Jimenez et al., Petitioners

v.

San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent

T.M. Cobb Company and Viking Industries, Inc.,

Real Parties in Interest

On application of petitioners and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including February 13, 2001.

#### S027555 People, Respondent

V

Alfredo R. Prieto, Appellant

The application of Andrew E. Rubin and Terrence V. Scott for permission to be relieved as appointed lead and associate counsel, respectively, on the state habeas corpus/executive clemency proceeding related to the above automatic appeal now pending this

court, by motion filed December 18, 2001, is denied without prejudice. (See Supreme Court Policies Regarding Cases Arising From Judgments of Death, policy 2 ["Withdrawal of counsel"].)

### S054569 People, Respondent

v.

Daniel Lee Whalen, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, A. Richard Ellis is hereby appointed to represent appellant Daniel Lee Whalen for both the direct appeal and related state habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

#### S056391 People, Respondent

v.

Bob Russell Williams, Jr., Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Charles M. Bonneau is hereby appointed to represent appellant Bob Russell Williams, Jr. for both the direct appeal and related state habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings, in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

#### S059739 In re James Robert Soctt

on

Habeas Corpus

Petitioner's "motion to continue evidentiary hearing" and "motion for oder regarding petitioner's discovery requests," filed December 19, 2000, are denied.

#### S087484 Lachi Delisa Richards, Respondent

3rd Dist. v

C027848 CH2M Hill, Incorporated, Appellant

Respondent's motion to strike the answer brief is denied.

#### S089010 Stacy Cornette et al., Appellants

V.

Department of Transportation, Respondent

The application of 89 amici cities for leave to file a letter brief in reply to plaintiffs' answer to amicus curiae brief of 89 cities is hereby granted.

S089120 People, Respondent

v.

Greg Acosta, Appellant

The request of appellant for permission to file one brief combining appellant's opening brief and answer brief on the merits not exceeding 75 pages in length is hereby granted.

S091530 In re Robert Gree Fairbank

On

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing therefore, the Attorney General's "Motion to Unseal and Release to Respondent Petitioner's Confidential Applications for Funds and All Court Orders Relating to Such Applications" filed on October 31, 2000, is granted as follows:

Petitioner Robert Green Fairbank, Jr., shall have until January 24, 2001 to serve and file a waiver of confidentiality as to (i) his January 9, 1996 "Appellant's Confidential Application for Investigative and Expert Funds, and Supporting Declaration of Robert Navarro," and (ii) this court's confidential order regarding that application. If petitioner declines to waive confidentiality as to the foregoing, petitioner shall be precluded from relying on these documents or the material contained therein in his petition for writ of habeas corpus (S091530) before this court.

Upon petitioner's filing of his waiver of confidentiality, the clerk is directed to provide the Attorney General with copies of "Appellant's Confidential Application for Investigative and Expert Funds, and Supporting Declaration of Robert Navarro," and this court's confidential order regarding that application.

The clerk is further directed to keep the original "Appellant's Confidential Application for Investigative and Expert Funds, and Supporting Declaration of Robert Navarro" and this court's confidential order regarding that application, under seal.

The Attorney General is prohibited from using the "Appellant's Confidential Application for Investigative and Expert Funds, and Supporting Declaration of Robert Navarro," the related court order, or the material contained in the foregoing documents, except in the present proceeding.

#### S094253 Malinee Chinakool, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent MZL Properties Inc. et al., Real Parties in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

5th Dist. In re Juan R. F037325 In re Sergio R.

F037344 The above entitled matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, are transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third

Appellate District.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S090057

In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the suspension of **David Alton Kelly, State Bar No. 152133**, pursuant to our order filed on July 21, 2001, and effective August 24, 2000, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

### S092755 In re **Marie R. Cannella** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Marie R. Cannella. State Bar No. 115480**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on September 12, 2000, as modified by its order filed October 5, 2000; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual

suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S094229 In the Matter of the Resignation of **David Hampton Tedder** A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **David Hampton Tedder**, **State Bar No. 62983**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S094230 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Roger Louis Maino**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Roger Louis Maino**, **State Bar No. 34717**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary

proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S094230 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Roger Louis Maino**A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Roger Louis Maino**, **State Bar No. 34717**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)